arXiv:1301.4923v1 [math-ph] 21 Jan 2013

Anderson's Orthogonality Catastrophe for One-dimensional Systems

Heinrich Küttler
Mathematisches Institut
LMU München
Germany*

Peter Otte
Fakultät für Mathematik
Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Germany†

Wolfgang Spitzer
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
Fernuniversität in Hagen
Germany‡

January 22, 2013

Abstract

We derive rigorously the leading asymptotics of the so-called Anderson integral in the thermodynamic limit for one-dimensional systems. The coefficient of the leading term is computed and shown to be strictly positive. This implies a non-trivial lower bound for the exponent in Anderson's orthogonality catastrophe pertaining to the ground states in systems of non-interacting fermions.

1. Introduction

In 1967, P.W. Anderson [2] studied the transition probability between the ground state of N free fermions and the ground state of N fermions subject to an exterior potential in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Interestingly, he found that this probability decays like $N^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0$ as

---

*Heinrich.Kuettler@mathematik.uni-muenchen.de
†peter.otte@rub.de
‡wolfgang.spitzer@fernuni-hagen.de

$N \to \infty$. Here, we give a rigorous analysis of this so-called orthogonality catastrophe for one-dimensional systems.

To begin with, let us briefly sketch the many-particle problem underlying our considerations. The state space of N fermions is the N-fold anti-symmetric tensor product

$$\mathcal{H}^N := \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{H}}_{N-\text{times}}$$

of some one-particle space $\mathcal{H}$ (e.g. $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $s, d \in \mathbb{N}$) where a one-particle Hamilton operator $H : D(H) \to \mathcal{H}$ is defined. Since we assume our particles to not interact the corresponding operator H^N on $\mathcal{H}^N$ is simply a sum

$$H^N := H \wedge \mathbb{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbb{1} + \cdots + \mathbb{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathbb{1} \wedge H.$$

If H has a discrete spectrum consisting of (simple) eigenvalues $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots$ one can easily construct the analogous N-particle quantities. In particular, the ground state φ^N is a Slater determinant and the eigenvalue λ^N a sum, i.e.

$$\varphi^N = \varphi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \varphi_N,\ \lambda^N = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_N.$$

Let $H_V := H + V$ be a second operator on $\mathcal{H}$ with eigenvalues $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots$ and (simple) eigenvectors $\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots$. The operator H_V^N is defined analogously to H^N and thus the new ground state is

$$\psi^N = \psi_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \psi_N,\ \mu^N = \mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_N.$$

The transition probability, $\mathcal{D}_N$, studied by Anderson is given through the scalar product

$$\mathcal{D}_N := |(\varphi^N, \psi^N)|^2 = |\det((\varphi_j, \psi_k))_{j,k=1,\ldots,N}|^2. \tag{1}$$

It can be estimated (see Corollary 5.4) as

$$\mathcal{D}_N \le e^{\mathcal{I}_N},\ \mathcal{I}_N := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |(\varphi_j, \psi_k)|^2. \tag{2}$$

Here, $\mathcal{I}_N$ is the so-called 'Anderson integral' which is the object of our main interest. The asymptotics we wish to analyze involves a second parameter L reflecting the system length so that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_L = L^2([0, L]^d)$ is the Hilbert space of (spin-less) fermions confined to the box $[0, L]^d$. Therefore, we work with a sequence of Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_L$ and ground states $\varphi^N = \varphi_L^N$, $\psi^N = \psi_L^N$ with $L > 0$. In the thermodynamic limit we let $N, L \to \infty$ with the particle density $\rho = N/L^d$ being kept fixed. The main result (Theorem 5.3) is an asymptotic formula for the Anderson integral

$$\mathcal{I}_{N,L} = \gamma \ln N + o(\ln N),\ N, L \to \infty,$$

in dimension $d = 1$ and with a slightly different convention for the box size (namely $2L$ instead of L) and the density $\rho = (N + \frac{1}{2})/(2L)$. We show that the coefficient γ is always positive and strictly positive at least if the potential is small.

The main ingredient of the proof is an integral formula for $\mathcal{I}_{N,L}$ (Proposition 2.1), which holds true under rather general conditions. It rests essentially upon the Riesz integral formula for spectral projections and Krein's resolvent formula. To adapt it to Schrödinger operators we derive a resolvent formula involving abstract differentiation and multiplication operators (Proposition 2.2). Via this formula, a sequence of scalar functions comes into play which tends at least informally to a Dirac delta function. This is made precise in Sections 3.3 and 4, hence the name delta-term and delta-estimate. The singularity represented by the delta sequence reflects in a way the singular transition from a discrete spectrum to a continuous spectrum as $L \to \infty$.

Our method requires a rather detailed and precise knowledge of the free Dirichlet problem, in particular of the resolvent. Almost everything one needs to know about the perturbed problem, however, is can be read off from the so-called T-matrix. The perturbed eigenvalues do not enter in the actual asymptotic analysis. We only need to make sure that the number of perturbed eigenvalues below some fixed (Fermi) energy is asymptotically for large N the same as for the free problem (see Proposition 3.11 and the discussion before Corollary 5.4). This is related to the spectral shift function (see [8] for potentials with compact support). Interestingly, a lot of work has been done to derive asymptotic formulae for the perturbed eigenvalues at large energies. Except for [1], we are not aware of studies that include also the dependence on L as well.

Anderson's orthogonality catastrophe has attracted a lot of interest in solid state physics since its first mentioning. There are early attempts to derive the exact asymptotics of the determinant, $\mathcal{D}_N$, itself by Rivier and Simanek [11] who used the adiabatic theorem. An improved version is due to Hamann [5] who could treat the thermodynamic limit only informally. Recent numerical investigations have been carried out by Weichselbaum, Münder, von Delft, Goldstein, and Gefen [13, 9] who also present some physical background and refer to further reading. Frank, Lewin, Lieb, and Seiringer [4, Eq. (11)] considered the related problem of proving a lower bound to the energy difference – in our notation below $\operatorname{tr}(H_V\Pi - HP)$ – directly in the thermodynamic limit in terms of semi-classical quantities.

2. Representation of the Anderson Integral

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with scalar product $(\cdot,\cdot)$, which is anti-linear in the first component and linear in the second component and let $\|\cdot\|$ be the corresponding vector norm. The induced operator norm will be denoted with the same symbol $\|\cdot\|$.

2. Representation of the Anderson Integral

We consider a self-adjoint operator $H : D(H) \to \mathcal{H}$, $D(H) \subset \mathcal{H}$, and a bounded operator $V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$. Then, $H_V = H + V$ is self-adjoint as well with $D(H_V) = D(H)$. We denote by $\sigma(H)$ and $\sigma(H_V)$ the spectrum of H and H_V, respectively, and by

$$R(z) := (z\mathbb{1} - H)^{-1},\ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H),\ R_V(z) := (z\mathbb{1} - H_V)^{-1},\ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H_V) \tag{3}$$

their resolvents. From spectral theory we know

$$\|R(z)\| = \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(H))},\ \|R_V(z)\| = \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(H_V))}. \tag{4}$$

We borrow some notation from scattering theory (see e.g. [12, 3.6]). Note, that for $z \notin \sigma(H)$ the operator $(\mathbb{1} - VR(z))^{-1}$ exists and is bounded if and only if $z \notin \sigma(H_V)$. The same holds true for $(\mathbb{1} - R(z)V)^{-1}$. Hence, the so-called T-matrix

$$T(z) := (\mathbb{1} - VR(z))^{-1}V = V(\mathbb{1} - R(z)V)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

exists for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(H) \cup \sigma(H_V))$ with

$$\|T(z)\| \leq \frac{\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(H))}{\operatorname{dist}(z, \sigma(H_V))}\|V\| \tag{6}$$

and is analytic there as a function of z. Krein's resolvent formula

$$R_V(z) - R(z) = R(z)T(z)R(z) \tag{7}$$

relates the resolvents $R(z)$ and $R_V(z)$ with each other whenever the T-matrix exists. The operator V plays an important role via its polar decomposition

$$V = |V|J = J|V| = \sqrt{|V|}J\sqrt{|V|} \tag{8}$$

with $J^* = J = J^2$ and $\|J\| = 1$. At times, it is more convenient to work with the Birman-Schwinger operator

$$K(z) := \sqrt{|V|}R(z)\sqrt{|V|},\ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H), \tag{9}$$

than with the resolvent. Likewise, instead of the T-matrix the operator $\Omega(z)$, defined through (cf. [12, 3.6.1,1])

$$T(z) = \sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z)\sqrt{|V|},\ \Omega(z) := (\mathbb{1} - \sqrt{|V|}R(z)\sqrt{|V|}J)^{-1} \tag{10}$$

is often used. It exists and is bounded for $z \notin \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(H) \cup \sigma(H_V))$. The converse is true, too. That is to say, if $z \notin \sigma(H)$ and $\Omega(z)$ exists and is bounded then $z \notin \sigma(H_V)$. To see this, one first shows that $\mathbb{1} - R(z)V$ is injective and has dense range and, in a second step, that the range is closed.

2.1. Operators with a common spectral gap

Riesz's integral formula yields a handy expression for the Anderson integral when the operators H and H_V have a common spectral gap. That is to say their spectra can be written as

$$\sigma(H) = \sigma_1(H) \cup \sigma_2(H), \ \sigma(H_V) = \sigma_1(H_V) \cup \sigma_2(H_V) \tag{11}$$

such that there is a closed contour $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ with each σ_1 being inside and each σ_2 outside of Γ. Let P be the spectral projection of H belonging to $\sigma_1(H)$ and let Π be defined likewise for H_V. The Anderson integral in question is

$$\mathcal{I} := \operatorname{tr}\left[P(\mathbb{1} - \Pi)\right]. \tag{12}$$

In our application P is trace class and hence $\mathcal{I} < \infty$. The Riesz formula reads

$$P = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma R(z)\,dz, \ \Pi = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma R_V(z)\,dz. \tag{13}$$

Note that both integrals have the same Γ from above. For our purposes, an infinite contour is more appropriate. In particular, due to the special form of the free Green function (see (34)) a parabola will do best.

Proposition 2.1. *Let P be trace class and assume that the sets $\sigma_{1,2}$ in* (11) *are such that*

$$\sup \sigma_1(H) < \nu < \inf \sigma_2(H), \ \sup \sigma_1(H_V) < \nu < \inf \sigma_2(H_V) \tag{14}$$

with some $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the Anderson integral (12)

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_\nu} \operatorname{tr}\left[PR(z)T(z)R(z)^2T(z)\right]dz \tag{15}$$

with the parabola $\Gamma_\nu := \{z = (\sqrt{\nu} + is)^2 \mid s \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Proof. First off, $P(\Pi - \mathbb{1}) = P(\Pi - P)$. By Riesz' and Krein's formulae, (13) and (7),

$$\Pi - P = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma (R_V(z) - R(z))\,dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma R(z)T(z)R(z)\,dz$$

with the closed contour Γ from above. Since P is trace class and the other operators are bounded we may take the trace. Using the cyclic commutativity we obtain

$$\mathcal{I} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \operatorname{tr}\left[PR(z)T(z)R(z)\right]dz = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \operatorname{tr}\left[PR(z)^2T(z)\right]dz$$

since P commutes with $R(z)$. Recall that $R(z)$ is differentiable in $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$ with $R'(z) = -R(z)^2$. Since all functions involved are analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\sigma(H) \cup \sigma(H_V))$ we may integrate by parts,

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \operatorname{tr}\left[PR'(z)T(z)\right]dz = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \operatorname{tr}\left[PR(z)T'(z)\right]dz.$$

The relation

$$T'(z) = T(z)R'(z)T(z) = -T(z)R(z)^2T(z)$$

proves the formula with Γ. Using the estimates (4) and (6) we can bend the closed contour into the parabola Γ_ν since the integrand decays sufficiently fast at infinity. ☐

2.2. Schrödinger-type operators

A typical Schrödinger operator is built from differentiation and multiplication operators. Let us introduce two operators ∇ and X satisfying

$$[\nabla, X] = \mathbb{1}. \tag{16}$$

We assume $\nabla : D(\nabla) \to \mathcal{H}$, $D(\nabla) \subset \mathcal{H}$, to be densely defined on $\mathcal{H}$ and $X : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ to be bounded such that $XD(\nabla) \subset D(\nabla)$. Thus, (16) is meant to hold true on $D(\nabla)$. Self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators often results from boundary conditions which usually lessen the domain of definition. Let therefore $-\nabla^2$ have a self-adjoint restriction $H : D(H) \to \mathcal{H}$. That is to say $D(H) \subset D(\nabla^2)$ and

$$H = -\nabla^2 \text{ on } D(H).$$

The resolvent of H,

$$R(z) = (z\mathbb{1} - H)^{-1},\ z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$$

is a well-defined and bounded operator with $R(z) : \mathcal{H} \to D(H)$. The latter implies

$$(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)R(z) = (z\mathbb{1} - H)R(z) = \mathbb{1}. \tag{17}$$

In general, this equality fails to hold true when the order of terms is switched as can be seen in Proposition 3.7. This is the reason why the following resolvent formula gives non-trivial results.

Proposition 2.2. *For operators ∇ and X as in* (16) *let us assume in addition $XD(H) \subset D(H)$. Then, the decomposition*

$$R(z)^2 = \frac{1}{z}R(z) - \frac{1}{2z}[X\nabla, R(z)] + \frac{1}{z}D(z) = \frac{1}{2z}(R(z) - C(z)) + \frac{1}{z}D(z) \tag{18}$$

holds true on $D(\nabla^2)$ and for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$. Here,

$$D(z) := \Big(\frac{1}{2}X - R(z)\nabla\Big)[\nabla, R(z)],\ C(z) := X\nabla R(z) - R(z)\nabla X. \tag{19}$$

The operator $D(z)$ is the so-called 'delta-term' and satisfies

$$(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)D(z) = 0 \tag{20}$$

on $D(\nabla^2)$ and for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(H)$.

Proof. We start off from the elementary formula

$$R(z)^2 = \frac{1}{z}R(z) + \frac{1}{z}R(z)HR(z) \tag{21}$$

and rewrite the last term. By dint of the product rule the commutator in (18) becomes

$$[X\nabla, R(z)] = X[\nabla, R(z)] + [X, R(z)]\nabla. \tag{22}$$

Formula (16) implies $[\nabla^2, X] = 2\nabla$. By noting $XD(H) \subset D(H)$ and $R(z)(z\mathbb{1}-H) = \mathbb{1}$ on $D(H)$ we obtain

$$[X, R(z)] = R(z)[z\mathbb{1} - H, X]R(z) = R(z)[\nabla^2, X]R(z) = 2R(z)\nabla R(z).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}[X, R(z)]\nabla &= R(z)\nabla R(z)\nabla \\ &= R(z)\nabla^2 R(z) + R(z)\nabla[R(z), \nabla] \\ &= -R(z)HR(z) - R(z)\nabla[\nabla, R(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

We solve for $R(z)HR(z)$, insert this into (21), and use (22). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} R(z)^2 &= \frac{1}{z}R(z) - \frac{1}{2z}[X, R(z)]\nabla - \frac{1}{z}R(z)\nabla[\nabla, R(z)] \\ &= \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{2z}[X\nabla, R(z)] + \frac{1}{2z}X[\nabla, R(z)] - \frac{1}{z}R(z)\nabla[\nabla, R(z)] \\ &= \frac{1}{z}R(z) - \frac{1}{2z}[X\nabla, R(z)] + \frac{1}{z}D(z), \end{aligned}$$

which is the first equality in (18). The second one follows by dint of the commutation relation $X\nabla = -\mathbb{1} + \nabla X$. Finally, by (16)

$$(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)(\frac{1}{2}X - R(z)\nabla) = \frac{1}{2}X(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2).$$

Then,

$$(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)[\nabla, R(z)] = \nabla(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)R(z) - (z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)R(z)\nabla = 0$$

shows (20). □

3. One-dimensional Schrödinger Operators

We look into the special case of Schrödinger operators with Dirichlet boundary conditions on the finite interval $[-L, L]$. Our Hilbert space then becomes $\mathcal{H} = L^2[-L, L]$. Actually, it ought to bear an index L as well as all operators defined on it and related quantities. However, since this dependence is ubiquitous we tacitly suppress it. In our concrete case,

$$\nabla = \frac{d}{dx}, \ (X\varphi)(x) = x\varphi(x). \tag{23}$$

The domain $D(\nabla)$ as well as $D(\nabla^2)$ can be described with the aid of Sobolev spaces which we do not need in detail herein. One can show that $X(D(\nabla)) \subset D(\nabla)$. The operator H becomes

$$H = -\nabla^2 = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \text{ on } D(H),$$

where $D(H)$ is $D(\nabla^2)$ restricted by Dirichlet boundary conditions. Because of that we have $XD(H) \subset D(H)$. The corresponding eigenvalue problem reads

$$-\varphi'' = \lambda\varphi, \ \varphi(-L) = 0 = \varphi(L).$$

The eigenvalues λ_j and normalized eigenfunctions φ_j, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are

$$\lambda_j = \big(\frac{\pi j}{2L}\big)^2, \quad \varphi_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\sin(\frac{\pi j}{2L}x) & \text{for } j \text{ even,} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\cos(\frac{\pi j}{2L}x) & \text{for } j \text{ odd.} \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

We translate the integral formula in Proposition 2.1 and the resolvent formula (18) into the framework of Schrödinger operators. For the $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ in Proposition 2.1 separating the two parts of the spectrum we choose the so-called Fermi energy

$$\nu_N := \Big[\frac{\pi}{2L}(N + \frac{1}{2})\Big]^2. \tag{25}$$

Thereby, the spectrum of H decomposes into

$$\sigma(H) = \sigma_1(H) \cup \sigma_2(H), \ \sigma_1(H) := \{\lambda_j \mid 1 \le j \le N\}, \ \sigma_2(H) := \{\lambda_j \mid j \ge N+1\}$$

and the parabola Γ_{ν_N} becomes what we call Fermi parabola

$$\Gamma_N := \{z = \nu_N - s^2 + 2i\sqrt{\nu_N}s \mid -\infty < s < \infty\}, \ dz = 2i(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is)\,ds. \tag{26}$$

The distance of the Fermi parabola from the spectrum is

$$|z-\lambda_j| = |\sqrt{\nu_N}+is+\sqrt{\lambda_j}||\sqrt{\nu_N}+is-\sqrt{\lambda_j}| \ge (\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}((\sqrt{\nu_N}-\sqrt{\lambda_j})^2+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{27}$$

which will be used at various points in particular with $s = 0$. The spectral projection P in the Anderson integral (12) becomes

$$P_N := \sum_{j=1}^{N}(\varphi_j, \cdot)\varphi_j. \tag{28}$$

The perturbed operator H_V is given by

$$H_V = H + V,$$

where V is the operator of multiplication by a real-valued function V, the potential, denoted by the same symbol for the sake of simplicity. Some results further below will be uniform in L. To formulate this conveniently we assume that the potential V is already defined on the whole of $\mathbb{R}$ and not only on the interval $[-L, L]$. Thus, we denote by $\|V\|_r$, $1 \le r \le \infty$ the $L^r(\mathbb{R})$ norms of the function V. Besides integrability some statements will require the potential to decay a bit more regularly

$$|x^{2p}V(x)| \le \frac{C_{\alpha,p}}{(1+|x|)^{1+\alpha}},\ p \ge 0,\ \alpha \ge 0. \tag{29}$$

It is convenient to formulate the special case $p = 0$ separately

$$|V(x)| \le \frac{C_\alpha}{(1+|x|)^{1+\alpha}},\ \alpha \ge 0. \tag{30}$$

If $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then the operator V is bounded, in concordance with Section 2, regardless of L. In particular, $D(H_V) = D(H)$. Furthermore, since the free eigenfunctions are obviously delocalized, $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ implies

$$\|\sqrt{|V|}\varphi_j\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}\|V\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{31}$$

which will be used throughout. The spectrum of H_V is given through the corresponding Dirichlet problem

$$-\psi'' + V\psi = \mu\psi,\ \psi(-L) = 0 = \psi(L).$$

It consists solely of simple eigenvalues, which follows easily via uniqueness results for ordinary differential equations. We denote them by μ_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with the usual ordering $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots$. The decomposition (11) of $\sigma(H_V)$ will be studied in Section 3.4. The normalized eigenfunctions of H_V are ψ_k, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the spectral projection Π in (12) reads

$$\Pi_M := \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\psi_k, \cdot)\psi_k. \tag{32}$$

Note that in general $M \ne N$ (see Section 3.5).

3.1. Free resolvent

The spectral representation of the free resolvent (3) with (24) reads

$$R(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}(\varphi_j, \cdot)\varphi_j. \tag{33}$$

The corresponding kernel or Green function is given by

$$R(z; x, y) = \frac{1}{W(z)} \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{z}(x-L))\sin(\sqrt{z}(y+L)) & -L \le y \le x \le L \\ \sin(\sqrt{z}(x+L))\sin(\sqrt{z}(y-L)) & -L \le x \le y \le L \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

with the Wronski determinant

$$W(z) = 2\sqrt{z}\sin(L\sqrt{z})\cos(L\sqrt{z}) = \sqrt{z}\sin(2\sqrt{z}L). \tag{35}$$

By rewriting the Green function one can cast the resolvent into a form where the L dependence is more tangible

$$R(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}}\Big[\frac{\cos(L\sqrt{z})}{\sin(L\sqrt{z})}P_s(z) - \frac{\sin(L\sqrt{z})}{\cos(L\sqrt{z})}P_c(z) + G(z)\Big]. \tag{36}$$

The operators $P_s(z)$, $P_c(z)$, and $G(z)$ have the kernels

$$\begin{gathered} P_s(z;x,y) := \sin(\sqrt{z}x)\sin(\sqrt{z}y),\ P_c(z;x,y) := \cos(\sqrt{z}x)\cos(\sqrt{z}y), \\ G(z;x,y) := \sin(\sqrt{z}|x-y|). \end{gathered} \tag{37}$$

Note that $P_s(z)$ and $P_c(z)$ are rank-one operators which makes the resolvent differ from the operator $G(z)$ by a rank-two perturbation. We would like to apply the delta-estimate from Section 4 directly to $R(z)$ and $\Omega(z)$ (cf. (10)). This is not possible because of the apparent singularity in the prefactors of $P_s(z)$ and $P_c(z)$ in (36) at $z = \nu_N$. In a first step we replace z in the benevolent operators $P_{s,c}(z)$ and $G(z)$ by ν_N and retain the malevolent dependence in the function τ. This motivates the definition of the operators $R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, Ls)$ and $\Omega_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, Ls)$ in (41) and (63), respectively. In (54)), we estimate the difference between $R(z)$ and $R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, Ls)$. Later, in our main Theorem 5.3, we use these operators to compute the coefficient of the leading asymptotic N-behaviour of the Anderson integral. To begin with, we have a closer look at (36). At the Fermi energy (25)

$$\sin(L\sqrt{\nu_N}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2}\rfloor},\ \cos(L\sqrt{\nu_N}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1)^{\lceil \frac{N}{2}\rceil}, \tag{38}$$

which implies on the Fermi parabola (26)

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(L(\sqrt{\nu_N}+is)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2}\rfloor}(\cosh(Ls) + i(-1)^N\sinh(Ls)), \\ \cos(L(\sqrt{\nu_N}+is)) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-1)^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2}\rfloor}((-1)^N\cosh(Ls) - i\sinh(Ls)). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\cos(L(\sqrt{\nu_N}+is))}{\sin(L(\sqrt{\nu_N}+is))} = \begin{cases} \tau(Ls) & \text{for } N \text{ even}, \\ -\bar{\tau}(Ls) & \text{for } N \text{ odd}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\tau(s) := \frac{\cosh s - i\sinh s}{\cosh s + i\sinh s},\ \tau(-s) = \bar{\tau}(s),\ |\tau(s)| = 1,\ s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{40}$$

Now, we keep the s-dependence only in the scalar function τ but not in the operators $P_{s,c}(z)$ and $G(z)$ and introduce

$$\begin{aligned} R^{+}_{\infty}(\nu_n, Ls) &:= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}(\tau(Ls)P_s(\nu_N) - \bar{\tau}(Ls)P_c(\nu_N) + G(\nu_N)), \\ R^{-}_{\infty}(\nu_N, Ls) &:= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}(-\bar{\tau}(Ls)P_s(\nu_N) + \tau(Ls)P_c(\nu_N) + G(\nu_N)). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

This can be seen, in a way, as the limit of the resolvent as $L \to \infty$ (cf. (54)). Note, that $R^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)$ differs from $G(\nu_N)$ by a rank-two perturbation.

The operator $C(z)$ in (19) has the kernel ($x, y \in [-L, L]$)

$$\begin{aligned} &C(z; x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{z}}{2W(z)}\times \\ &\begin{cases} x\cos(\sqrt{z}(x-L))\sin(\sqrt{z}(y+L)) + y\sin(\sqrt{z}(x-L))\cos(\sqrt{z}(y+L)) & y \le x, \\ x\cos(\sqrt{z}(x+L))\sin(\sqrt{z}(y-L)) + y\sin(\sqrt{z}(x+L))\cos(\sqrt{z}(y-L)) & x \le y. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

The Green function and related quantities are to be evaluated on the Fermi parabola Γ_N. Most of the crucial estimates needed below come from a bound for $W(z)$.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a constant* $0 \le C_D \le 16$ *such that for all* $s \in \mathbb{R}$*,* $L > 0$*,* $N \in \mathbb{N}$*, and* ν_N *as in* (25) *we have*

$$\frac{1}{|\sin(L(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is))|^2} \le C_D e^{-2L|s|}, \quad \frac{1}{|\cos(L(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is))|^2} \le C_D e^{-2L|s|}. \tag{43}$$

Moreover, for $z \in \Gamma_N$ *(see* (26)*)*

$$|R(z; x, y)| \le \frac{C_D}{2(\nu_N + s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-|s||x-y|}, \quad |C(z; x, y)| \le \frac{1}{2} C_D(|x| + |y|) e^{-|s||x-y|}. \tag{44}$$

Proof. (a) For $a, s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\sin(a + is)|^2 = \sin^2 a + \sinh^2 s.$$

We use this in two ways. For $|s| \ge s_0 > 0$,

$$|\sin(a + is)|^2 \ge \sinh^2 s \ge \left[\frac{|s|}{1 + 2|s|}\right]^2 e^{2|s|} \ge \left[\frac{s_0}{1 + 2s_0}\right]^2 e^{2|s|}.$$

For $a = \sqrt{\nu_N}$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\sin^2(L(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is))| \ge \sin^2(L\sqrt{\nu_N}) = \sin^2\big(\frac{\pi}{2}(N + \frac{1}{2})\big) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We pick an $\alpha > 0$ to distinguish between large and small s

$$|\sin(L(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is))|^2 \geq \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha}\right]^2 e^{2L|s|} & \text{for } |s| \geq \frac{\alpha}{L}, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{for } |s| \leq \frac{\alpha}{L}. \end{cases}$$

To make the two estimates look alike we use for small s,

$$1 = e^{2L|s|}e^{-2L|s|} \geq e^{2L|s|}e^{-2\alpha}.$$

Choosing $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ yields $C_D = 16$ and proves the first part of (43). Starting from

$$|\cos(a + is)|^2 = \cos^2 a + \sinh^2 s$$

and using $\cos^2(L\sqrt{\nu_N}) = \frac{1}{2}$ one can treat the cosine in (43) in like manner.

(b) For $L \geq x \geq y \geq -L$

$$|\sin((\sqrt{\nu_N} + is)(x - L))\sin((\sqrt{\nu_N} + is)(y + L))| \leq e^{|s||x-L|}e^{|s||y+L|} = e^{|s|(2L-|x-y|)},$$

where we estimated the sine by the exponential function. For $x \leq y$ the bound looks the same. Using (43) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |R(z;x,y)| &\leq \frac{1}{(\nu_N + s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{2|\sin(L(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is))||\cos(L(\sqrt{\nu_N} + is))|} e^{|s|(2L-|x-y|)} \\ &\leq \frac{C_D}{2(\nu_N + s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-|s||x-y|}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first estimate in (44). The estimate for $C(z;x,y)$ in (44) follows likewise. ☐

The optimal α in the proof above is given through the transcendental equation

$$\frac{\alpha}{1+2\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{-\alpha},$$

which can be treated via the Burniston-Siewert method (cf. [7, §14.10]). Solving this numerically gives $\alpha \approx 0.8218$ and the optimal constant $C_D \approx 10.348$.

We study the operators in (36) and start with the functions $\omega_s(z)$, $\omega_c(z)$,

$$\omega_s(z;x) := \sqrt{|V(x)|}\sin(\sqrt{z}x),\ \omega_c(z,x) := \sqrt{|V(x)|}\cos(\sqrt{z}x),\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (45)$$

which come from $P_s(z)$ and $P_c(z)$ in (37). For real values of $\sqrt{z} = a \in \mathbb{R}$, which includes the Fermi energy $z = \nu_N$, one obviously has

$$\|\omega_s(a^2)\| \leq \|V\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}},\ \|\omega_c(a^2)\| \leq \|V\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (46)$$

The behaviour in the entire complex plane is more complicated. To formulate the corresponding estimates in a convenient way we introduce two auxiliary functions (see Lemma A.3)

$$h_\alpha(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|t|^{1+\alpha}} & \text{if } t \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{|t|^{\alpha}} & \text{if } 0 < t < 1, \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

$$w_{L,\alpha}(s) := \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-L|s|} + (2|s|)^\alpha h_\alpha(Ls) \tag{48}$$

with $\alpha > 0$. Note, that $w_{L,\alpha}$ is continuous at $s = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy in addition (30) and (29) with some $\alpha > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Then,*

$$\|\omega_{s,c}(z)\| \leq C_\omega w_{L,\alpha}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{L|s|} \tag{49}$$

$$\|\omega_{s,c}(z) - \omega_{s,c}(a^2)\| \leq |s| C'_\omega L^{1-p} w_{L,\alpha}(s)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{L|s|} \tag{50}$$

with $z = (a + is)^2$, $a, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and constants

$$C_\omega := (2C_\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}},\ C'_\omega := (2C_{\alpha,p})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. To prove (49), we estimate

$$\|\omega_s(z)\|^2 = \int_{-L}^{L} |V(x)| |\sin((a+is)x)|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{-L}^{L} |V(x)| e^{2|sx|} \, dx.$$

To prove (50), we first note

$$|\sin((a+is)x) - \sin(ax)| = |ix \int_0^s \cos((a+it)x) \, dt| \leq |x||s| e^{|sx|}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_s(z) - \omega_s(a^2)\|^2 &= \int_{-L}^{L} |V(x)| \cdot |\sin((a+is)x) - \sin(ax)|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq s^2 \int_{-L}^{L} x^2 |V(x)| e^{2|sx|} \, dx \\ &\leq s^2 L^{2(1-p)} \int_{-L}^{L} x^{2p} |V(x)| e^{2|sx|} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

In either case we end up with an integral of the form

$$\int_{-L}^{L} |x^{2p} V(x)| e^{2|sx|} \, dx \leq \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{C_{\alpha,p}}{(1+|x|)^{1+\alpha}} e^{2|sx|} \, dx \leq 2C_{\alpha,p} w_{L,\alpha}(s) e^{2L|s|},$$

where we used (29) and Lemma A.3. The estimates for $\omega_c(z)$ follow in like manner. □

The condition on V in (29) could be weakened somewhat so as to allow for slightly more general potentials.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $z=(a+is)^2$, $a,s\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, the kernels of the operators $P_{s,c}(z)$ and $G(z)$ from (37) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned}|P_{s,c}(z;x,y)-P_{s,c}(a^2;x,y)| &\le |s|(|x|+|y|)e^{|s|(|x|+|y|)},\\ |G(z;x,y)-G(a^2;x,y)| &\le |s||x-y|e^{|s||x-y|}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We write the difference as an integral

$$\begin{aligned}&P_s(z;x,y)-P_s(a^2;x,y)\\ &=\int_0^s \frac{d}{dt}\big(\sin((a+it)x)\sin((a+it)y)\big)\,dt\\ &=i\int_0^s \big(x\cos((a+it)x)\sin((a+it)y)+y\sin((a+it)x)\cos((a+it)y)\big)\,dt,\end{aligned}$$

and estimate

$$|P_s(z;x,y)-P_s(a^2;x,y)|\le\int_0^{|s|}(|x|+|y|)e^{t(|x|+|y|)}\,dt\le |s|(|x|+|y|)e^{|s|(|x|+|y|)}.$$

The estimates for $P_c(z)$ and $G(z)$ follow in like manner. □

One could use Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3 to study $R(z)$ or $G(z)$. However, the applications we have in mind require that to be done for the Birman-Schwinger and suchlike operators.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $V\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $z\in\Gamma_N$. Then, the Birman-Schwinger operator $K(z)$ from (9) satisfies*

$$\|K(z)\|\le\frac{C_D}{\sqrt{\nu_N+s^2}}\|V\|_1. \tag{51}$$

If $X^2V\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with X as in (23) then for the operator $C(z)$ from (42) we have

$$\|\sqrt{|V|}C(z)\sqrt{|V|}\|\le 2C_D\|X^2V\|_1^{\frac12}\|V\|_1^{\frac12}. \tag{52}$$

Furthermore, for the operators $G(\nu_N)$ from (37) and $R^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N,s)$ from (41) we have

$$\|\sqrt{|V|}G(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}\|\le\|V\|_1,\ \|\sqrt{|V|}R^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N,s)\sqrt{|V|}\|\le\frac{3}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1. \tag{53}$$

Finally, if V satisfies (29) with $0\le p\le 1$ and $\alpha>0$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sqrt{|V|}(R^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N,Ls)-R((\sqrt{\nu_N}+is)^2))\sqrt{|V|}\|\\ &\qquad\le\frac{3}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1|s|+6C_{\alpha,p}^{\frac12}C_{\alpha}^{\frac12}L^{1-p}|s|w_{L,\alpha}(s)e^{2L|s|},\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where the plus sign is for even N and the minus sign for odd N in ν_N.

Proof. Let W be an integral operator with kernel $W(x,y)$ that satisfies

$$|W(x,y)| \leq |W_1(x)||f(x,y)||W_2(x)|$$

where $W_1, W_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Let $\varphi \in L^2[-L,L]$. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|W\varphi\|^2 &= \int_{-L}^{L} |W_1(x)|^2 \Big| \int_{-L}^{L} f(x,y) W_2(y) \varphi(y)\, dy \Big|^2 dx \\
&\leq \int_{-L}^{L} |W_1(x)|^2 \int_{-L}^{L} |f(x,y) W_2(y)|^2 \, dy \, dx \|\varphi\|^2 \\
&\leq \|f\|_\infty^2 \|W_1\|_2^2 \|W_2\|_2^2 \|\varphi\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where the norms of $W_{1,2}$ and f pertain to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^2$, respectively. Hence

$$\|W\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|W_1\|_2 \|W_2\|_2.$$

To prove (51) we can take $W_{1,2} = \sqrt{|V|}$ and $f \equiv 1$ by dint of (44). By the same estimate we can prove (52) by using $W_1 = X\sqrt{|V|}$, $W_2 = \sqrt{|V|}$, $f \equiv 1$ and $W_1 = \sqrt{|V|}$, $W_2 = X\sqrt{|V|}$, $f \equiv 1$.

Because of the obvious bound $|G(\nu_N; x, y)| \leq 1$ (cf. (37)) we obtain

$$\|\sqrt{|V|} G(\nu_N) \sqrt{|V|}\| \leq \|V\|_1,$$

which proves (53) for $G(\nu_N)$. From (46) one infers $\|\sqrt{|V|} P_{s,c}(\nu_N) \sqrt{|V|}\| \leq \|V\|_1$. Along with $|\tau(Ls)| = 1$ this implies for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\sqrt{|V|} R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s) \sqrt{|V|}\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}} (\|\sqrt{|V|} P_s(\nu_N) \sqrt{|V|}\| + \|\sqrt{|V|} P_c(\nu_N) \sqrt{|V|}\| + \|\sqrt{|V|} G(\nu_N) \sqrt{|V|}\|) \\
&\leq \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}} \|V\|_1,
\end{aligned}$$

which is (53) for $R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s)$.

To prove (54) let V satisfy (29) with $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. Using the kernel estimates in Lemma 3.3 along with Lemma A.3 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\sqrt{|V|}(P_{s,c}(z) - P_{s,c}(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}\| &\leq 2C_{\alpha,p}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{1-p} |s| w_{L,\alpha}(s) e^{2L|s|}, \\
\|\sqrt{|V|}(G(z) - G(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}\| &\leq 2C_{\alpha,p}^{\frac{1}{2}} C_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{1-p} |s| w_{L,\alpha}(s) e^{2L|s|},
\end{aligned}$$

which would also be true for other real values than ν_N but that is not needed here.

Then for even N

$$\begin{aligned}&R^+_\infty(\nu_N, Ls) - R(z)\\ &= \frac{1}{2(\sqrt{\nu_N}+is)}\big[\tau(Ls)(P_s(\nu_N)-P_s(z)) - \bar\tau(Ls)(P_c(\nu_N)-P_c(z)) + G(\nu_N) - G(z)\big]\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}+is}\Big)\big[\tau(Ls)P_s(\nu_N) - \bar\tau(Ls)P_c(\nu_N) + G(\nu_N)\big].\end{aligned}$$

For odd N replace τ by $-\bar\tau$. In either case we obtain (54). □

3.2. Truncated free resolvent

Let $S_N(z) := P_N R(z)$ be the truncated resolvent with the spectral projection from (28). We need to control $S_N(z)$ on the entire Fermi parabola Γ_N (see (26)) and, with more care, at the Fermi energy (25).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $z \in \Gamma_N$. Then, with $S_N(z) = P_N R(z)$ we have*

$$\big\|\sqrt{|V|}S_N(z)\sqrt{|V|}\big\| \le \frac{8}{\pi}\|V\|_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N + s^2}} \ln(N+1), \tag{55}$$

$$\big\|\sqrt{|V|}(S_N(z) - S_N(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}\big\| \le \frac{256}{\pi^3}\|V\|_1 |s| \frac{L^2}{N+\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{56}$$

Proof. We start off from the spectral representation of S_N,

$$\sqrt{|V|}S_N(z)\sqrt{|V|} = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}(\sqrt{|V|}\varphi_j, \cdot)\sqrt{|V|}\varphi_j.$$

Applying the estimate (31) and then using (27) we obtain

$$\big\|\sqrt{|V|}S_N(z)\sqrt{|V|}\big\| \le \frac{1}{L}\|V\|_1 \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{|z-\lambda_j|} \le \frac{2}{\pi}\|V\|_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N+s^2}} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{N+\frac{1}{2}-j}. \tag{57}$$

Likewise, using

$$\Big|\frac{z-\nu_N}{z-\lambda_j}\Big|^2 = \frac{4\nu_N + s^2}{(\sqrt{\nu_N}+\sqrt{\lambda_j})^2 + s^2}\frac{s^2}{(\sqrt{\nu_N}-\sqrt{\lambda_j})^2+s^2} \le 4\frac{s^2}{(\sqrt{\nu_N}-\sqrt{\lambda_j})^2}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sqrt{|V|}(S_N(z)-S_N(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}\| &\le \frac{1}{L}\|V\|_1\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{|z-\nu_N|}{|z-\lambda_j||\nu_N-\lambda_j|}\\ &\le 2|s|\frac{1}{L}\|V\|_1\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}-\sqrt{\lambda_j}}\frac{1}{\nu_N-\lambda_j} \qquad (58)\\ &\le 4|s|\frac{\|V\|_1}{\pi^3}\frac{(2L)^2}{N+\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{(N+\frac{1}{2}-j)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (99) to the sums in (57) and (58) we obtain (55) and (56). □

The asymptotic analysis in Section 5.2 is based upon a formula for the kernel $S_N(z;x,y)$ of the truncated resolvent. In particular it helps to separate the x,y and N dependence for special real values of z including the Fermi energy (25).

Proposition 3.6. *Let $z>0$ such that $\sqrt{z}>\frac{\pi}{2L}N$. Then, the kernel $S_N(z;x,y)$ of the operator $S_N(z)=P_NR(z)$ decomposes into*

$$S_N(z;x,y)=S_{0,N}(z;x,y)-S_{1,N}(z;x,y)-\tilde S_{0,N}(z;x,y)+\tilde S_{1,N}(z;x,y)$$

with

$$S_{0,N}(z;x,y):=\frac{\varkappa_N}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\cos(\sqrt{z}(x-y)),\ \ \varkappa_N:=\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{2L}{\pi}\sqrt{z}v}\frac{\sinh((N+\frac{1}{2})v)}{\sinh\frac{v}{2}}\,dv,$$

$$\tilde S_{0,N}(z;x,y):=\frac{\tilde\varkappa_N}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\cos(\sqrt{z}(x+y)),\ \ \tilde\varkappa_N:=(-1)^N\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{2L}{\pi}\sqrt{z}v}\frac{\cosh((N+\frac{1}{2})v)}{\cosh\frac{v}{2}}\,dv,$$

and

$$S_{1,N}(z;x,y):=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}\int_0^{\frac{\pi(x-y)}{2L}}\sin\Big(\frac{2L}{\pi}\sqrt{z}(u-\frac{\pi(x-y)}{2L})\Big)\frac{\sin((N+\frac{1}{2})u)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du,$$

$$\tilde S_{1,N}(z;x,y):=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{z}}(-1)^N\int_0^{\frac{\pi(x+y)}{2L}}\sin\Big(\frac{2L}{\pi}\sqrt{z}(u-\frac{\pi(x+y)}{2L})\Big)\frac{\cos((N+\frac{1}{2})u)}{\cos\frac{u}{2}}\,du.$$

Proof. With the eigenfunctions from (24) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_N(z;x,y)\\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}\varphi_j(x)\bar{\varphi}_j(y)\\
&= \frac{1}{L}\Big[\sum_{\substack{1\le j\le N\\ j \text{ even}}} \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}\sin\big(\frac{\pi j x}{2L}\big)\sin\big(\frac{\pi j y}{2L}\big) + \sum_{\substack{1\le j\le N\\ j \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}\cos\big(\frac{\pi j x}{2L}\big)\cos\big(\frac{\pi j y}{2L}\big)\Big]\\
&= \frac{1}{2L}\Big[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}\cos\big(\frac{\pi j(x-y)}{2L}\big) - \sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{(-1)^j}{z-\lambda_j}\cos\big(\frac{\pi j(x+y)}{2L}\big)\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the product formulae for sine and cosine. To sum the series we write the fraction as a Laplace transform. It is convenient to put $z = \frac{\pi^2}{4L^2}\tilde{z}^2$. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{z-\lambda_j} = \frac{4L^2}{\pi^2}\frac{1}{2\tilde{z}}\big(\frac{1}{\tilde{z}-j} + \frac{1}{\tilde{z}+j}\big) = \frac{4L^2}{\pi^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{z}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{z}v}\cosh(jv)\,dv
$$

since $\tilde{z} > j$ by assumption. Hence,

$$
S_N(z;x,y) = \frac{2L}{\pi^2}\frac{1}{\tilde{z}}\int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{z}v}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\cosh(jv)\Big[\cos\big(\frac{\pi j(x-y)}{2L}\big) - (-1)^j\cos\big(\frac{\pi j(x+y)}{2L}\big)\Big]\,dv
$$

and with the aid of the addition theorem for the cosine we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\pi^2}{L}\tilde{z}S_N(z;x,y)\\
&= 2\int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{z}v}\operatorname{Re}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big[\cos\big[j\big(\frac{\pi(x-y)}{2L}+iv\big)\big] - (-1)^j\cos\big[j\big(\frac{\pi(x+y)}{2L}+iv\big)\big]\Big]\,dv\\
&= \operatorname{Re}\int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{z}v}\Big[\frac{\sin[(N+\frac{1}{2})(\frac{\pi(x-y)}{2L}+iv)]}{\sin[\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\pi(x-y)}{2L}+iv)]} - (-1)^N\frac{\cos[(N+\frac{1}{2})(\frac{\pi(x+y)}{2L}+iv)]}{\cos[\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\pi(x+y)}{2L}+iv)]}\Big]\,dv\\
&=: \operatorname{Re} I_s - (-1)^N \operatorname{Re} I_c.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the summation formulae for the cosine series. The integral I_s can be evaluated by a change of the integration contour. To this end, let

$$
w = a + iv,\ a := \frac{\pi(x-y)}{2L},\ M := N + \frac{1}{2}.
$$

We take $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3 \cup \Gamma_4$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_1 = \{a + iv \mid 0 \le v \le R\},\ \Gamma_2 = \{u + iR \mid 0 \le u \le a\},\\
\Gamma_3 = \{iv \mid 0 \le v \le R\},\ \Gamma_4 = \{u \mid 0 \le u \le a\},
\end{gathered}
$$

orientated counterclockwise. By Cauchy's integral theorem

$$\int_\Gamma e^{i\tilde{z}w}\frac{\sin(Mw)}{\sin\frac{w}{2}}\,dw = 0$$

since the integrand has only removable singularities. Because of

$$e^{i\tilde{z}w}\frac{\sin(Mw)}{\sin\frac{w}{2}} = e^{i\tilde{z}(u+iR)}\frac{\sin(M(u+iR))}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}(u+iR))} \sim e^{-(\tilde{z}-M+\frac{1}{2})R},\ R\to\infty$$

and $\tilde{z}-M+\frac{1}{2}>0$ the integral over Γ_2 vanishes as $R\to\infty$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\tilde{z}a}I_s &= \int_0^\infty e^{i\tilde{z}(a+iv)}\frac{\sin(M(a+iv))}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}(a+iv))}\,dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{z}v}\frac{\sin(Miv)}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}iv)}\,dv + i\int_0^a e^{i\tilde{z}u}\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\operatorname{Re} I_s = \cos(\tilde{z}a)\int_0^\infty e^{-\tilde{z}v}\frac{\sinh(Mv)}{\sinh\frac{v}{2}}\,dv - \int_0^a \sin(\tilde{z}(u-a))\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du.$$

This gives the terms $S_{0,N}$ and $S_{1,N}$. The integral I_c can be treated in like manner and the proof is finished. ☐

3.3. One-dimensional delta-term, $D(z)$

The delta-term being not trivial, reflects on an abstract level the boundary conditions used in the definition of H, which make up the difference between H and $-\nabla^2$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\sigma(H)$. For $\varphi\in D(\nabla^2)$, and $R(z)$ the resolvent of H we have*

$$(R(z)(z\mathbb{1}+\nabla^2)\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x) - \frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(x+L))\varphi(L) - \sin(\sqrt{z}(x-L))\varphi(-L)}{\sin(2\sqrt{z}L)}. \quad (59)$$

Furthermore, the delta-term $D(z)$ from (19) reads

$$D(z) = \frac{L}{4}\Big(\frac{1}{\sin^2(\sqrt{z}L)}P_s(z) + \frac{1}{\cos^2(\sqrt{z}L)}P_c(z)\Big) \quad (60)$$

with the projection operators $P_s(z)$ and $P_c(z)$ from (37).

Proof. (a) To derive (59) we integrate by parts two times using the Dirichlet boundary conditions, i.e. $R(z; x, \pm L) = 0$, in the first step

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R(z)\nabla^2\varphi)(x)\\
&= \int_{-L}^{L} R(z;x,y)\varphi''(y)\,dy\\
&= [R(z;x,y)\varphi'(y)]_{-L}^{L} - \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{\partial R(z;x,y)}{\partial y}\varphi'(y)\,dy\\
&= -\left[\frac{\partial R(z;x,y)}{\partial y}\varphi(y)\right]_{-L}^{L} + \int_{-L}^{L} \frac{\partial^2 R(z;x,y)}{\partial y^2}\varphi(y)\,dy\\
&= -\frac{\partial R(z;x,L)}{\partial y}\varphi(L) + \frac{\partial R(z;x,-L)}{\partial y}\varphi(-L) - z\int_{-L}^{L} R(z;x,y)\varphi(y)\,dy + \varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

From the explicit form (34) of $R(z; x, y)$ we deduce

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}R(z;x,\pm L) = \frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(x \pm L))}{\sin(2\sqrt{z}L)},$$

which implies (59).

(b) To obtain Formula (60) we use (20) along with (59),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (R(z)(z\mathbb{1} + \nabla^2)D(z))(x,y)\\
&= D(z;x,y) - \frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(x+L))}{2\sin(\sqrt{z}L)\cos(\sqrt{z}L)}D(z;L,y) + \frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(x-L))}{2\sin(\sqrt{z}L)\cos(\sqrt{z}L)}D(z;-L,y).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$(R(z)\nabla[\nabla, R(z)])(\pm L, y) = \int_{-L}^{L} R(z;\pm L, y')(\nabla[\nabla, R(z)])(y', y)\,dy' = 0.$$

Hence, by definition (19) and the explicit form (34) of $R(z)$ we get

$$D(z;\pm L, y) = \pm\frac{L}{2}\nabla_x R(z;\pm L, y) = \pm\frac{L}{2}\frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(y \pm L))}{2\sin(\sqrt{z}L)\cos(\sqrt{z}L)}.$$

Putting everything together we obtain

$$D(z;x,y) = \frac{L}{8}\frac{\sin(\sqrt{z}(x+L))\sin(\sqrt{z}(y+L)) + \sin(\sqrt{z}(x-L))\sin(\sqrt{z}(y-L))}{\sin^2(\sqrt{z}L)\cos^2(\sqrt{z}L)},$$

which implies the statement via the usual trigonometric formulae. □

3.4. Perturbed resolvent

Since the perturbed operator enters only through the T-matrix and the operator $\Omega(z)$ (cf. (5) and (10)) we have a closer look at suchlike operators. Recall from Section 2 that we already know those operators to exist for $z \notin \mathbb{R}$. What is new herein is the uniform bounds on the entire Fermi parabola Γ_N including ν_N.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and assume in addition*

$$q := \frac{C_D}{\sqrt{\nu_N}} \|V\|_1 < 1. \tag{61}$$

Then, the operator $\Omega(z)$ from (10) exists for all $z \in \Gamma_N$ and is uniformly bounded with

$$\|\Omega(z)\| \le \frac{1}{1-q} =: C_\Omega. \tag{62}$$

In particular, $\nu_N \notin \sigma(H_V)$. If in addition $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then the T-matrix, $T(z)$ (see (5)), exists and is bounded with $\|T(z)\| \le C_\Omega \|V\|_\infty$.

Proof. For the operator in (10) we have

$$\|\sqrt{|V|} R(z) \sqrt{|V|} J\| \le \|K(z)\| \le \frac{C_D}{\sqrt{\nu_N}} \|V\|_1 < 1.$$

For the Birman-Schwinger operator we used (51) in Lemma 3.4. Hence, by a Neumann series argument we see that $\Omega(z)$ exists and is bounded with (62). Since $\nu_N \notin \sigma(H)$ by construction the remark following (10) shows $\nu_N \notin \sigma(H_V)$. Furthermore,

$$\|T(z)\| = \|\sqrt{|V|} J \Omega(z) \sqrt{|V|}\| \le \|\sqrt{|V|}\|_\infty^2 \|J\| \|\Omega(z)\| = \|V\|_\infty \|\Omega(z)\|$$

completes the proof. ☐

In Section 3.1, we argued that we prefer to replace the resolvent $R(z)$ by $R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s)$. Likewise, we replace the operators $\Omega(z)$ by operators $\Omega_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s) &:= (\mathbb{1} - \sqrt{|V|} R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s) \sqrt{|V|} J)^{-1}, \\ \Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N) &:= (\mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}} \sqrt{|V|} G(\nu_N) \sqrt{|V|} J)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

where $R_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, s)$ is as in (41).

Lemma 3.9. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If*

$$q_\infty := \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}} \|V\|_1 < 1, \; q_{\infty,0} := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}} \|V\|_1 < 1, \tag{64}$$

then the operators $\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)$ *and* $\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)$ *(defined in* (63)*) exist and are bounded with*

$$\|\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)\| \le \frac{1}{1-q_{\infty}} =: C_{\Omega_\infty}, \|\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\| \le \frac{1}{1-q_{\infty,0}} =: C_{\Omega_{\infty,0}}. \tag{65}$$

If in addition V *satisfies* (29) *with* $\alpha > 0$ *and* $0 \le p \le 1$ *then (see* (10) *and* (48)*)*

$$\|\Omega(z) - \Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, Ls)\| \le C'_{\Omega_\infty}|s|(1 + L^{1-p}w_{L,\alpha}(s)e^{2L|s|}), \tag{66}$$

where $z = (\sqrt{\nu_N} + is)^2$ *and* $\Omega^{+}_{\infty}(\nu_N, Ls)$*,* $\Omega^{-}_{\infty}(\nu_N, Ls)$ *is for even and odd N, respectively. The constant (see* (62)*,* (29)*,* (30)*)*

$$C'_{\Omega_\infty} := \max\{\frac{3}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1, 6C_\Omega C_{\Omega_\infty} C^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\alpha,p} C^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\alpha}\}.$$

Proof. We know from (54) that

$$\|\sqrt{|V|}R^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)\sqrt{|V|}\| \le \frac{3}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1.$$

Thus, a Neumann series argument shows that $\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)$ exists and is bounded with the bound given in (65). The operator $\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)$ can be treated in like manner. For (66) note

$$\Omega(z) - \Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, Ls) = \Omega(z)\sqrt{|V|}(R^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, Ls) - R(z))\sqrt{|V|}\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s).$$

Hence, (62), (54), and the first part (65) conclude the proof. □

We had seen in (36) that $R(z)$ differs by a rank-two perturbation from some operator $G(z)$. Thus, one could think of applying the finite dimensional Krein formula to $\Omega(z)$. Unfortunately, the operator $\mathbb{1} - \sqrt{|V|}G(z)\sqrt{|V|}$ might not be invertible. At least, if it should be this cannot be seen by a Neumann series argument because the norm estimate would contain an exponential factor as in Lemma 3.2. This is why we introduced the operator $\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)$. We only need to know how it acts on the functions $\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)$. To this end, we introduce the 2×2 matrices

$$\hat{\Omega}^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s) := \begin{pmatrix} (\omega_s(\nu_N), J\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)\omega_s(\nu_N)) & (\omega_s(\nu_N), J\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)\omega_c(\nu_N)) \\ (\omega_c(\nu_N), J\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)\omega_s(\nu_N)) & (\omega_c(\nu_N), J\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)\omega_c(\nu_N)) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{67}$$

and

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N) := \begin{pmatrix} (\omega_s(\nu_N), J\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_s(\nu_N)) & (\omega_s(\nu_N), J\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_c(\nu_N)) \\ (\omega_c(\nu_N), J\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_s(\nu_N)) & (\omega_c(\nu_N), J\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_c(\nu_N)) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{68}$$

Note that $\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^* = \hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)$ since $J\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)$ is self-adjoint.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy in addition (see* (65)*)*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1 C_{\Omega_{\infty,0}} < 1. \tag{69}$$

Then, the 2×2 matrices $\hat{Z}^{\pm}(\nu_N, s)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N, s) &:= (\mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\hat{\Omega}^{+}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{\tau}(s))^{-1},\\ \hat{Z}^{-}(\nu_N, s) &:= (\mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\hat{\Omega}^{-}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{\tau}(s)^*)^{-1}\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

exist. Here (for τ see (40)*)*

$$\hat{\tau}(s) := \operatorname{diag}(\tau(s), -\bar{\tau}(s)),\ \ \hat{\tau}(s)^*\tau(s) = \mathbb{1}. \tag{71}$$

The matrix elements of $\Omega^{\pm}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s)$ are given through

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Omega}^{+}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s) &= 2\sqrt{\nu_N}(\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N, s) - \mathbb{1})\hat{\tau}(s)^* = \hat{Z}^{+}(s)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N),\\ \hat{\Omega}^{-}_{\infty}(\nu_N, s) &= -2\sqrt{\nu_N}(\hat{Z}^{-}(\nu_N, s) - \mathbb{1})\hat{\tau}(s) = \hat{Z}^{-}(\nu_N, s)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N),\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

where the plus sign is for even N in ν_N and the minus sign for odd N.

Proof. For operators having the form of $\Omega_\infty(\nu_N, s)$ simple algebra shows the formula

$$\begin{aligned}(A - a_1(f_1,\cdot)g_1 - a_2(f_2,\cdot)g_2)^{-1} = A^{-1} &+ a_1\big[c_{11}(f_1, A^{-1}\cdot) + c_{12}(f_2, A^{-1}\cdot)\big]A^{-1}g_1\\ &+ a_2\big[c_{21}(f_1, A^{-1}\cdot) + c_{22}(f_2, A^{-1}\cdot)\big]A^{-1}g_2\end{aligned}$$

with an invertible operator A, vectors $f_{1,2}$, $g_{1,2}$, and $a_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}$. The coefficients are given via

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12}\\ c_{21} & c_{22}\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_1(f_1, A^{-1}g_1) & -a_2(f_1, A^{-1}g_2)\\ -a_1(f_2, A^{-1}g_1) & 1 - a_2(f_2, A^{-1}g_2)\end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

To evaluate the inverse on the special vectors $g_{1,2}$ we recall the definition of the c_{jk} and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(A - a_1(f_1,\cdot)g_1 - a_2(f_2,\cdot)g_2)^{-1}g_1 &= c_{11}A^{-1}g_1 + \frac{a_2}{a_1}c_{21}A^{-1}g_2,\\ (A - a_1(f_1,\cdot)g_1 - a_2(f_2,\cdot)g_2)^{-1}g_2 &= \frac{a_1}{a_2}c_{12}A^{-1}g_1 c_{22}A^{-1}g_2.\end{aligned}$$

The first matrix element is

$$(f_1, (A - a_1(f_1,\cdot)g_1 - a_2(f_2,\cdot)g_2)^{-1}g_1) = c_{11}(f_1, A^{-1}g_1) + \frac{a_2}{a_1}c_{21}(f_1, A^{-1}g_2).$$

Once again, we can compute this by using the definition of c_{jk}. The remaining matrix elements are computed in like manner. Now, for even N put

$$a_1 = \frac{\tau(s)}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}},\ a_2 = -\frac{\bar{\tau}(s)}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}},\ f_{1,2} = J^*\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N),\ g_{1,2} = \omega_{s,c}(\nu_N),$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_\infty(\nu_N, s)\omega_s(\nu_N) &= c_{11}(s)\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_s(\nu_N) - \bar{\tau}(s)^2 c_{21}(s)\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_c(\nu_N),\\ \Omega_\infty(\nu_N, s)\omega_c(\nu_N) &= -\tau(s)^2 c_{12}(s)\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_s(\nu_N) + c_{22}(s)\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_c(\nu_N).\end{aligned}$$

With $\hat{Z}^+(\nu_N, s) := (c_{jk}(s))_{j,k=1,2}$ this gives the matrix elements (72). To show that $\hat{Z}^+(s)$ is well-defined we look at the entries

$$|(\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), J\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N))| \leq \|V\|_1 \|\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\|.$$

We thus have the estimate

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{\tau}(s)\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1\|\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|V\|_1 C_{\Omega_{\infty,0}} < 1,$$

which proves the statement via a Neumann series argument. For odd N replace everywhere τ by $-\bar{\tau}$. ☐

3.5. Perturbed eigenvalues

One important consequence of Lemma 3.8 is that the spectrum $\sigma(H_V)$ of the operator H_V on $L^2[-L, L]$ can be decomposed with respect to the Fermi energy ν_N,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(H_V) &= \sigma_1(H_V) \cup \sigma_2(H_V),\\ \sigma_1(H_V) := \{\mu_j \mid \mu_j < \nu_N\},&\ \sigma_2(H_V) := \{\mu_j \mid \mu_j > \nu_N\}.\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Equivalently, there is an $M = M(N)$ with

$$\mu_j < \nu_N,\ j = 1, \ldots, M,\ \mu_j > \nu_N,\ j \geq M + 1. \tag{74}$$

Exactly N free eigenvalues lie below ν_N. We need to know how many perturbed eigenvalues do so which amounts to estimating M. We will restrict ourselves to non-positive potentials. The reason is that for such potentials we have the immediate lower bound $M \geq N$ since the eigenvalues move leftward. For the upper bound we modify Bargmann's inequality on negative eigenvalues (cf. [10, Thm. XIII.9]).

Proposition 3.11. *Let $V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be non-positive, $V = -|V|$, and satisfy* (30) *with $\alpha > 0$. Let furthermore $E > 0$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}M := \#\{\mu_j < E\} &\leq \frac{2L}{\pi}\sqrt{E} + 1 + C_E,\\ C_E &:= \frac{C_\alpha}{E} + \frac{2}{\pi}(\|V\|_\infty + E)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\frac{C_\alpha}{2E}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

In particular, with $E = \nu_N$ being the Fermi energy the bound becomes

$$M \leq N + \frac{3}{2} + C_E. \tag{76}$$

Proof. By a shift of the spectrum M equals the number of negative eigenvalues of

$$-\psi'' - (|V| + E)\psi = \tilde{\mu}\psi,\ \psi(-L) = 0 = \psi(L).$$

The eigenfunction ψ_M corresponding to $\tilde{\mu}_M$ has exactly $M + 1$ roots. It is convenient to distinguish between negative and non-negative roots. We start with the latter

$$0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_m = L$$

assuming there are $m + 1$ of them. Then, $\tilde{\mu}_M$ is a negative eigenvalue for the Dirichlet problem on each $I_k := [x_k, x_{k+1}]$, $k = 0, \ldots, m - 1$. We want a lower bound for the distance of two consecutive roots. To this end, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I_k} (|V(x)| + E)|\psi_M(x)|^2\, dx &\leq \sup_{x \in I_k} (|V(x)| + E) \int_{I_k} |\psi_M(x)|^2\, dx \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in I_k} (|V(x)| + E)\big(\frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{\pi}\big)^2 \int_{I_k} |\psi'_M(x)|^2\, dx,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Wirtinger's inequality (see [3]) or in other words, the variational principle for the lowest Dirichlet eigenvalue. If we had

$$\sup_{x \in I_k} (|V(x)| + E)\big(\frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{\pi}\big)^2 \leq 1$$

then we would conclude with the aid of the differential equation and the Dirichlet conditions that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{I_k} (|V(x)| + E)|\psi_M(x)|^2 &\leq \int_{I_k} |\psi'_M(x)|^2\, dx \\ &= \int_{I_k} (|V(x)| + E)|\psi(x)|^2\, dx + \tilde{\mu}_M \int_{I_k} |\psi_M(x)|^2\, dx.\end{aligned}$$

This is impossible for $\tilde{\mu}_M < 0$ and hence,

$$1 \leq \frac{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2}{\pi^2} \sup_{x \in I_k} (|V(x)| + E). \tag{77}$$

We proceed in two steps. At first, we derive a rough but uniform bound by using $\|V\|_\infty$ in (77). This yields

$$x_{k+1} - x_k \geq \frac{\pi}{(\|V\|_\infty + E)^{\frac{1}{2}}} =: \delta \text{ and } x_k \geq k\delta,\ 0 \leq k \leq m.$$

In the second step we use the decay (30) of V in (77),

$$\pi \leq (x_{k+1} - x_k)\big(\sup_{x\in I_k} \frac{C_\alpha}{(1+x)^{\alpha+1}} + E\big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (x_{k+1} - x_k)\sqrt{E}\big(1 + \frac{C_\alpha}{2(1+k\delta)^{\alpha+1}E}\big).$$

This can be cast into the form

$$1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{E}}{\pi}(x_{k+1} - x_k) + \frac{C_\alpha}{2E}\frac{1}{(1+k\delta)^{\alpha+1} + \frac{C_\alpha}{2E}}.$$

Summing up from 0 to $m-1$ we obtain

$$m \leq \frac{\sqrt{E}}{\pi}L + \frac{C_\alpha}{2E}\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\frac{1}{(1+k\delta)^{\alpha+1} + \frac{C_\alpha}{2E}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{E}}{\pi}L + \frac{C_\alpha}{2E} + \frac{1}{\delta}\big(\frac{C_\alpha}{2E}\big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}\frac{2+\alpha}{1+\alpha}.$$

Here we used that the series converges for $\alpha > 1$ and estimated the sum by an integral. The bound for the number m' of negative roots can be derived in like manner and looks the same. Adding both inequalities and noting $m+1+m'+1 = M+1$ we prove (75). Finally, (76) is an immediate consequence of the definition of ν_N. ☐

4. Delta-estimate

Proper treatment of the dominant term hinges on certain integrals of the function $w_{\alpha,L}$. More precisely, assume we are given a family of functions $f_L : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $L > 0$, and rate functions $\vartheta, \Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$f_L(s) \leq s\Theta(L) \text{ and } f_L(s) \leq \vartheta(L),\ L > 0,\ s \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{78}$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let the functions* $f_L : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ *satisfy* (78) *with* $aL\vartheta(L) \geq \Theta(L)$ *where* $a > 0$. *Let furthermore* $\alpha > 0$. *Then, with* $w_{L,\alpha}$ *defined in* (48) *we have*

$$L\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}} w_{L,\alpha}(s) f_L(s)\, ds \leq \frac{1}{a}\Big\{\frac{1}{\alpha}\frac{\Theta(L)}{L} + \frac{\vartheta(L)}{L^\alpha}\Big[a + \sqrt{2}\ln\big(1 + \frac{\Theta(L)}{\vartheta(L)}\big)\Big]\Big\}. \tag{79}$$

Proof. Due to the different behaviour of the two functions making up $w_{L,\alpha}$ the proof is split up into two parts.

(a) The exponential function needs only the Lipschitz continuity in (78)

$$L\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}} e^{-Ls} f_L(s)\, ds \leq \Theta(L)\frac{L}{a}\int_0^\infty s e^{-Ls}\, ds = \frac{1}{a}\frac{\Theta(L)}{L}.$$

(b) Since h_α does not decay fast enough we have to proceed more carefully. Pick some $\eta \geq \frac{1}{L}$ to distinguish between the different regimes in (78). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
&L\int_0^\infty \frac{s^\alpha}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}} f_L(s) h_\alpha(Ls)\,ds \\
&\leq \frac{L}{a}\Theta(L)\int_0^\eta s^{\alpha+1} h_\alpha(Ls)\,ds + L\vartheta(L)\int_\eta^\infty \frac{s^\alpha}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}} h_\alpha(Ls)\,ds \\
&= \frac{1}{a}\frac{\Theta(L)}{L^{\alpha+1}}\int_0^{L\eta} s^{\alpha+1} h_\alpha(s)\,ds + \frac{\vartheta(L)}{L^\alpha}\int_{L\eta}^\infty \frac{s^\alpha}{\sqrt{a^2+(\frac{s}{L})^2}} h_\alpha(s)\,ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{a}\frac{\Theta(L)}{L^\alpha}\eta + \frac{1}{a}\frac{\vartheta(L)}{L^\alpha}\int_{\frac{\eta}{a}}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s^2}}\frac{1}{s}\,ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{L^\alpha}\big[\Theta(L)\eta + \sqrt{2}\vartheta(L)\ln(1+\frac{a}{\eta})\big].
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the assumption $aL\vartheta(L) \geq \Theta(L)$ we may choose

$$\eta = a\frac{\vartheta(L)}{\Theta(L)} \geq \frac{1}{L},$$

which proves the second part of the estimate. □

We also need a similar estimate without the factor f_L.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $a > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then, with $w_{L,\alpha}$ defined in* (48) *we have*

$$L\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}} w_{L,\alpha}(s)\,ds \leq \frac{1}{a}\Big\{\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{L^\alpha}\big[1+\sqrt{2}\ln(1+aL)\big]\Big\}.$$

Proof. Once again, we treat the two functions in $w_{L,\alpha}$ separately.

(a) For the exponential function we have

$$L\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}} e^{-Ls}\,ds \leq \frac{1}{a}L\int_0^\infty e^{-Ls}\,ds = \frac{1}{a},$$

which proves the first part.

(b) Once again, the function h_α requires more care. We have to distinguish between small and large arguments. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L\int_0^\infty \frac{s^\alpha}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}}h_\alpha(Ls)\,ds &\le \frac{1}{a}L\int_0^{\frac{1}{L}} s^\alpha h_\alpha(Ls)\,ds + L\int_{\frac{1}{L}}^\infty \frac{s^\alpha}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}}h_\alpha(Ls)\,ds \\
&= \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{L^\alpha} + \frac{1}{L^\alpha}\int_{\frac{1}{L}}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+s^2}}\frac{1}{s}\,ds \\
&= \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{L^\alpha}\big(1+\int_0^{aL}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s^2}}\,ds\big) \\
&\le \frac{1}{a}\frac{1}{L^\alpha}\big(1+\sqrt{2}\ln(1+aL)\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof. □

5. Asymptotics

In the thermodynamic limit the particle density ρ is kept constant. Usually, that would be $\frac{N}{2L}$. However, taking

$$\rho := \frac{N+\frac{1}{2}}{2L} > 0 \tag{80}$$

will make our formulae handier since $\nu_N = \pi^2\rho^2$ is constant then. We start off from combining Propositions 2.1, 2.2, and 3.7 and write

$$\operatorname{tr}\big[P_N(\mathbb{1}-\Pi_M)\big] = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_N}\Big[\frac{1}{2z}\operatorname{tr}A_N(z)\,dz + \frac{1}{z}\operatorname{tr}B_N(z)\Big]\,dz, \tag{81}$$

where $M = M(N)$ according to the decomposition (73), (74) of the spectrum $\sigma(H_V)$. The Fermi parabola Γ_N is defined in (26). The operators in (81) are

$$A_N(z) := P_N R(z)T(z)(R(z)-C(z))T(z),\ B_N(z) := P_N R(z)T(z)D(z)T(z), \tag{82}$$

with the operators P_N, $R(z)$, $C(z)$ defined in (28), (33), (19), respectively. The traces can be treated further. For $A_N(z)$ we use the φ_j's and write

$$\operatorname{tr}A_N(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{z-\lambda_j}(\varphi_j, T(z)(R(z)-C(z))T(z)\varphi_j). \tag{83}$$

For $B_N(z)$ we recall the projection like form of $P_s(z)$ and $P_c(z)$, see (37). Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}B_N(z) = {}& d_s(z)(J\Omega(\bar z)\omega_s(\bar z), \sqrt{|V|}S_N(z)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z)\omega_s(z)) \\
&+ d_c(z)(J\Omega(\bar z)\omega_c(\bar z), \sqrt{|V|}S_N(z)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z)\omega_c(z)),
\end{aligned} \tag{84}
$$

where we abbreviated

$$d_s(z) := \frac{L}{2\sin^2(L\sqrt{z})},\ d_c(z) := \frac{L}{2\cos^2(L\sqrt{z})}$$

with $\omega_{s,c}(z)$ as in (45). The complex conjugates in (84) are due to the sesquilinearity of the scalar product. We will see that both $\operatorname{tr} A_N(z)$ and $\operatorname{tr} B_N(z)$ decay sufficiently fast on the Fermi parabola such that the integrals can be evaluated separately.

5.1. Subdominant term

We discuss the subdominant term arising directly from the integral formula. Additional corrections will appear in Section 5.2.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy* (61). *Furthermore, assume $X^2V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ with the operator X from* (23). *Then, there is a constant $C_{sub} \geq 0$ such that*

$$\Big| \int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{1}{2z} \operatorname{tr} A_N(z)\, dz \Big| \leq C_{sub} \frac{\sqrt{N+1}}{\sqrt{L}} \big(\nu_N^{-\frac{5}{4}} + \nu_N^{-\frac{3}{4}}\big) \tag{85}$$

where the integral converges absolutely. The operator A_N is defined in (83) *and the Fermi parabola Γ_N is defined in* (26).

Proof. We start by bounding $\operatorname{tr} A_N(z)$ for $z \in \Gamma_N$, i.e. $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{\nu_N} + is$. From (83) we obtain

$$|\operatorname{tr} A_N(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{|z-\lambda_j|} \Big(|(\varphi_j, T(z)R(z)T(z)\varphi_j)| + |(\varphi_j, T(z)C(z)T(z)\varphi_j)| \Big). \tag{86}$$

The matrix elements can be estimated with the aid of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.8 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} |(\varphi_j, T(z)R(z)T(z)\varphi_j)| &= |(\varphi_j, \sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z)K(z)J\Omega(z)\sqrt{|V|}\varphi_j)| \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{|V|}\varphi_j\|^2 \|\Omega(z)\|^2 \|K(z)\| \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{L} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N + s^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|(\varphi_j, T(z)C(z)T(z)\varphi_j)| \leq \|\sqrt{|V|}\varphi_j\|^2 \|\Omega(z)\|^2 \|\sqrt{|V|}C(z)\sqrt{|V|}\| \leq \frac{C_2}{L}$$

with constants

$$C_1 := \|V\|_1^2 C_\Omega^2 C_D,\ C_2 := 2\|V\|_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \|X^2V\|_1^{\frac{1}{2}} C_\Omega^2 C_D.$$

To treat the remaining sum in (86) we bound (27) from below via

$$|z-\lambda_j| \geq (\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}((\sqrt{\nu_N}-\sqrt{\lambda_j})^2+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \sqrt{2}(\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\sqrt{\nu_N}-\sqrt{\lambda_j})^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{|s|}.$$

With the aid of (99) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{|z-\lambda_j|} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1}{(\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{|s|}}\frac{\sqrt{2L}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sum_{j=1}^{N}\frac{1}{(N+\frac{1}{2}-j)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{\sqrt{L(N+1)}}{(\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{|s|}}$$

for $s \neq 0$ and thus

$$|\operatorname{tr} A_N(z)| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{\sqrt{N+1}}{\sqrt{L}}\frac{1}{(\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{|s|}}\Big(\frac{C_1}{(\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}+C_2\Big). \tag{87}$$

Parametrizing the Fermi parabola in the usual way, $z(s)=(\sqrt{\nu_N}+is)^2$, and using (87) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\Big|\int_{\Gamma_N}\frac{1}{z}\operatorname{tr} A_N(z)\,dz\Big| &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}+is}\operatorname{tr} A_N(z(s))\,ds\Big| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{\pi}}\frac{\sqrt{N+1}}{\sqrt{L}}\Big[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{C_1}{(\nu_N+s^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{|s|}}\,ds+\int_{R}\frac{C_2}{(\nu_N+s^2)\sqrt{|s|}}\,ds\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Because the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{(\nu_N+s^2)^{\alpha}\sqrt{|s|}}\,ds = 4\nu_N^{\frac{1}{4}-\alpha}\int_0^{\infty}\frac{1}{(1+s^4)^{\alpha}}\,ds$$

exists in particular for $\alpha \in \{\frac{3}{2},1\}$ we conclude that the integral in (85) converges absolutely and satisfies the bound given there with an appropriate constant. ☐

5.2. Dominant term

To begin with, we single out the logarithmic part of the integral over $\operatorname{tr} B_N(z)$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy (61). Furthermore, assume that V satisfies (29) and (30) with some $\alpha > 0$ and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. The following integral converges absolutely and behaves asymptotically for large N and L with $\rho = (N+\frac{1}{2})/(2L)$ as*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_N}\frac{1}{z}\operatorname{tr} B_N(z)\,dz = \varkappa_N\gamma_L + e_N \tag{88}$$

with $\varkappa_N$ from Proposition 3.6 and the coefficient $\gamma_L := \gamma_{s,L}+\gamma_{c,L}$,

$$\gamma_{s,c,L} := \frac{1}{4\pi^2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\delta_{s,c,L}(s)}{\sqrt{\nu_N}+is}(\Omega(\bar{z}(s))\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N),F(\nu_N)\Omega(z(s))\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N))\,ds, \tag{89}$$

where $z(s) = (\sqrt{\nu_N} + is)^2$. *The operator*

$$F(\nu_N) := J^* \sqrt{|V|}(P_s(\nu_N) + P_c(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}J. \tag{90}$$

The error term can be estimated as

$$|e_N| = O\big(1 + \frac{1}{N^p} \ln N + \frac{1}{N^\alpha} \ln^2 N\big). \tag{91}$$

Proof. We proceed in three steps. We show that the integral converges absolutely and then apply the delta-estimate, Proposition 4.1, as often as possible. Finally, we single out the dominant part of the truncated resolvent.

(a) To begin with, we bound $\operatorname{tr} B_N(z)$ for $z \in \Gamma_N$, i.e. $\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{\nu_N} + is$. With the aid of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2, and 3.5 we infer from Formula (84)

$$\begin{aligned}|\operatorname{tr} B_N(z)| \leq{}& \|\Omega(\bar{z})\|\|\Omega(z)\|\|\sqrt{|V|}S_N(z)\sqrt{|V|}\|\times\\ &\times(|d_s(z)|\|\omega_s(\bar{z})\|\|\omega_s(z)\| + |d_c(z)|\|\omega_c(\bar{z})\|\|\omega_c(z)\|)\\ \leq{}& \frac{8}{\pi} C_D C_\Omega^2 C_\omega^2 \|V\|_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N + s^2}} w_{L,\alpha}(s) \ln(N+1).\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

Parametrizing the Fermi parabola as usual, $z(s) = (\sqrt{\nu_N} + is)^2$, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_N} \frac{1}{z} \operatorname{tr} B_N(z)\, dz = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N} + is} \operatorname{tr} B_N(z(s))\, ds \tag{93}$$

converges absolutely because of Lemma 4.2.

(b) For the sake of brevity, we define

$$\delta_{s,L}(s) := d_s(z(s)),\ \ \delta_{c,L}(s) := d_c(z(s)). \tag{94}$$

The following calculations look alike for $\delta_{s,L}(s)$ and $\delta_{c,L}(s)$ and the corresponding quantities. Therefore, we simply write $\delta_L(s)$ etc. to denote either case. We evaluate the integral in (93) and start with replacing $S_N(z)$ by $S_N(\nu_N)$. This causes an error

$$\begin{aligned}e_N^{(1)} :={}& \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta_L(s)}{\sqrt{\nu_N} + is}\times\\ &\times(J\Omega(\bar{z}(s))\omega(\bar{z}(s)), \sqrt{|V|}(S_N(z(s)) - S_N(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z(s))\omega(z(s)))\, ds\Big|\\ \leq{}& C_D C_\Omega^2 C_\omega^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{L}{\sqrt{\nu_N + s^2}} w_{L,\alpha}(s)\|\sqrt{|V|}(S_N(z(s)) - S_N(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}\|\, ds.\end{aligned}$$

Recalling Lemma 3.5 we use Proposition 4.1 with

$$f_L(s) = \|\sqrt{|V|}(S_N(z(s)) - S_N(\nu_N))\sqrt{|V|}\|,\ \vartheta(L) = \ln L,\ \Theta(L) = L$$

and obtain the error

$$e_N^{(1)} \leq C_2\big(1 + \frac{\ln^2 L}{L^\alpha}\big).$$

We replace $\omega(z)$ by $\omega(\nu_N)$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(J\Omega(\bar{z}(s))\omega(\bar{z}(s)), \sqrt{|V|}S_N(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z(s))\omega(z(s))) \\
&\quad - (J\Omega(\bar{z}(s))\omega(\nu_N), \sqrt{|V|}S_N(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z(s))\omega(\nu_N))| \\
&= |\operatorname{Re}(J\Omega(\bar{z}(s))(\omega(\bar{z}(s)) - \omega(\nu_N)), \sqrt{|V|}S_N(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega(z(s))(\omega(z(s)) + \omega(\nu_N)))| \\
&\leq \|\Omega(z(s))\|^2\|\omega(z(s)) - \omega(\nu_N)\|(\|\omega(z(s))\| + \|\omega(\nu_N)\|)\|\|\sqrt{|V|}S_N(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

To bound the error by dint of Proposition 4.1 we recall Lemma 3.2. Actually, one could estimate $\|\omega(\nu_N)\|$ via (46). However, it also possible to use the bound for $\omega(z(s))$ which simplifies the calculations. Then,

$$f_L(s) = e^{-2Ls}\|\omega(z(s)) - \omega(\nu_N)\|\|\omega(z(s)) + \omega(\nu_N)\|,\ \vartheta(L) = 1,\ \Theta(L) = L^{1-p},$$

where the rate functions are from Lemma 3.2. Thus we get

$$e_N^{(2)} \leq C_3\big(\frac{1}{L^p} + (1-p)\frac{\ln L}{L^\alpha}\big)\ln N,$$

where the rightmost logarithm is from Lemma 3.5.

(c) We decompose $S_N(\nu_N)$ according to Proposition 3.6 and find

$$\|\sqrt{|V|}\tilde{S}_{j,N}(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}\| \leq C,\ j = 1, 2,\ \|\sqrt{|V|}S_{1,N}(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}\| \leq C$$

because of Lemma A.2. Thus, we are left with the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta_L(s)}{\sqrt{\nu_N + s^2}}\|\Omega(z(s))\omega(z(s))\|^2\, ds \leq C_D C_\Omega^2 C_\omega^2 L \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{w_{L,\alpha}(s)}{\sqrt{\nu_N + s^2}}\, ds \leq C(1 + \frac{\ln L}{L^\alpha})$$

where we used Lemma 4.2. Hence, the remaining term is given via $S_{0,N}(\nu_N)$. Rewriting it by dint of the addition theorem for the cosine yields the statement. ☐

To determine the asymptotics we have to study the coefficients γ_L.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $V \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be non-positive and $X^2V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Assume further that V satisfies (61), (64), (69), and the conditions (29), (30) with some $0 < p \leq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$. In the thermodynamic limit according to (80), the Anderson integral has the leading asymptotics*

$$\mathcal{I}_N = \gamma \ln N + o(\ln N) \tag{95}$$

with the constant

$$\gamma := \frac{1}{8\pi^2\nu_N}\operatorname{tr}\big[(\mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{4\nu_N}\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}^2)^{-1}\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}^2\big] \geq 0 \tag{96}$$

and the 2×2 matrix $\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}$ as in (68). Moreover, if $\|V\|_1$ is small enough then $\gamma > 0$.

Proof. (a) We use (89) and show that $\gamma_L \to \gamma$. To this end, we substitute $s = t/L$ in (89) and obtain for $\gamma_{s,L}$ the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\nu_N} + \frac{it}{L})\sin^2(L\sqrt{\nu_N} + it)} \times \\ \times (\Omega((\sqrt{\nu_N} - \frac{it}{L})^2)\omega_s(\nu_N), F(\nu_N)\Omega((\sqrt{\nu_N} + \frac{it}{L})^2)\omega_s(\nu_N))\,dt, \tag{97}$$

where the minus sign comes from the complex conjugate. We want to use Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. To begin with, the integrand has the integrable bound

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}} C_D C_\Omega^2 \|F(\nu_N)\| e^{-2|t|}$$

by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.8. The convergence of the first factor in (97) is obvious. From Lemma 3.9 we know

$$\|\Omega_\infty^\pm(\nu_N, \pm t) - \Omega((\sqrt{\nu_N} \pm \frac{it}{L})^2)\| \le C'_{\Omega_\infty} \frac{|t|}{L}(1 + L^{1-p} w_{L,\alpha}(\frac{t}{L}) e^{2|t|})$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Here the plus sign is used for even N in ν_N and the minus sign for odd N. For each subsequence we have convergence for fixed t. We first look at the limit $\gamma_{s,L} \to \gamma_s^+$ for even N. Here,

$$\gamma_s^+ := \frac{1}{8\pi^2\nu_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\cosh t + i \sinh t)^2} (\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_s(\nu_N), F(\nu_N)\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_s(\nu_N))\,dt,$$

where we used (39) for the sine. The same reasoning yields

$$\gamma_c^+ := \frac{1}{8\pi^2\nu_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(\cosh t - i \sinh t)^2} (\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_c(\nu_N), F(\nu_N)\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_c(\nu_N))\,dt.$$

(b) To evaluate γ we recall the definition (90) of $F(\nu_N)$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), F(\nu_N)\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)) \\
&= (J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), \sqrt{|V|}P_s(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)) \\
&\quad + (J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), \sqrt{|V|}P_c(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)) \\
&= (J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), \omega_s(\nu_N))(\omega_s(\nu_N)J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)) \\
&\quad + (J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), \omega_c(\nu_N))(\omega_c(\nu_N)J\Omega_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using the matrices $\hat{\Omega}_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)$ and $\hat{\tau}(t)$ (cf. (67) and (71)) we can write the integrand of $\gamma_s^+ + \gamma_c^+$ as the trace of 2×2 matrices which leads to the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= -\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}\left[\hat{\tau}'(t)\hat{\Omega}_\infty^+(\nu_N, -t)^* \hat{\Omega}_\infty^+(\nu_N, t)\right] dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{2i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}\left[\hat{\tau}'(t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{Z}^+(\nu_N, -t)^* \hat{Z}^+(\nu_N, t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\right] dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used (72) to express $\hat{\Omega}^{+}_{\infty}(\nu_N,t)$ through $\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)$ and $\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)$. With the aid of the relation

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,-t)^{*} = \hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N) \tag{98}$$

and the cyclicity of the trace we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\frac{1}{2i}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}\big[\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{\tau}'(t)\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\big]\,dt \\ &= -\frac{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}{2i}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{tr}\big[(\hat{Z}^{+})'(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\big]\,dt \\ &= -\frac{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}{2i}\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{tr}\big[(\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)-\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,-t))\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}\big]. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)-\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,-t) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)(\hat{\tau}(t)-\hat{\tau}(-t))\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,-t) \\ &= \frac{2i\operatorname{Im}\tau(t)}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,-t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, our integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} I &= -\lim_{t\to\infty}\operatorname{Im}\big\{\tau(t)\operatorname{tr}\big[\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,-t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\big]\big\} \\ &= -\lim_{t\to\infty}\operatorname{Im}\tau(t)\operatorname{tr}\big[\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^2\hat{Z}^{+}(\nu_N,t)^{*}\big], \end{aligned}$$

where we used (98) again. Since we have (see (40) and (71))

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\operatorname{Re}\tau(t)=0,\ \lim_{t\to\infty}\operatorname{Im}\tau(t)=-1,\ \lim_{t\to\infty}\hat{\tau}(t)=-i\mathbb{1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \operatorname{tr}\big[(\mathbb{1}-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N))^{-1}(\mathbb{1}+\frac{i}{2\sqrt{\nu_N}}\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N))^{-1}\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^2\big] \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\big[(\mathbb{1}+\frac{1}{4\nu_N}\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^2)^{-1}\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^2\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Apart from the prefactor this is the coefficient γ for even N. For odd N analogous computations lead to the same γ.

(c) From $\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^{*}=\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)$ we infer that γ is the trace of the product of two non-negative matrices. Hence $\gamma\ge 0$. To show $\gamma>0$ first note that $\|\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\|=O(\|V\|_1)$. Thus,

$$\gamma=\operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Omega}_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)^2)+O(\|V\|_1^4).$$

Furthermore, with the aid of Lemma 3.9 we obtain

$$\|\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)-\mathbb{1}\|\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}}\|\Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\|\|\sqrt{|V|}G(\nu_N)\sqrt{|V|}\|\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu_N}}C_{\Omega_{\infty,0}}\|V\|_1,$$

which implies for the matrix elements

$$(\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), \Omega_{\infty,0}(\nu_N)\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)) = (\omega_{s,c}(\nu_N), \omega_{s,c}(\nu_N)) + O(\|V\|_1^2).$$

We conclude that

$$\gamma = \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Omega}_1^2) + O(\|V\|_1^3)$$

with the matrix

$$\hat{\Omega}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} (\omega_s(\nu_N), \omega_s(\nu_N)) & (\omega_s(\nu_N), \omega_c(\nu_N)) \\ (\omega_c(\nu_N), \omega_s(\nu_N)) & (\omega_c(\nu_N), \omega_c(\nu_N)) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity let us estimate

$$\operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Omega}_1^2) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{tr}\Omega_1)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\|\omega_s(\nu_N)\|^2 + \|\omega_c(\nu_N)\|^2)^2.$$

Writing out the scalar products we obtain

$$\|\omega_s(\nu_N)\|^2 + \|\omega_s(\nu_N)\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |V(x)|(\sin^2(\nu_N x) + \cos^2(\nu_N x))\, dx = \|V\|_1,$$

which finally shows

$$\gamma \geq \frac{1}{2}\|V\|_1^2 + O(\|V\|_1^3).$$

Thus, $\gamma > 0$ for $\|V\|_1$ small enough.

(d) The integral formula (81) involves Π_M and therefore differs from the actual Anderson integral by

$$|\operatorname{tr} P_N(\mathbb{1} - \Pi_N) - \operatorname{tr} P_N(\mathbb{1} - \Pi_M)| = |\operatorname{tr} P_N(\Pi_N - \Pi_M)| \leq |N - M|.$$

Using $V(x) \leq 0$ and Proposition 3.11 we conclude

$$N \leq M \leq N + \frac{3}{2} + C_E$$

with $E = \nu_N$. Therefore, replacing Π_N by Π_M causes an error that can be bounded by a constant. □

Some remarks seem to be appropriate.

1. The potential being non-positive is nowhere needed except for the final step in the proof of Theorem 5.3. It is only to ensure that the integral formula (81) indeed represents the Anderson integral correctly.

2. Potentials with compact support do not require any sign conditions. In that case one can avoid the Bargmann inequality in Proposition 3.11 and prove estimates for the eigenvalues by using square well potentials instead.

3. One could have used Lebesgue's theorem already in Proposition 5.2 instead of the delta-estimate 4.1 and thereby treated the integral in one step. However, the delta-estimate gives explicit error bounds instead of the mere $o(\ln N)$ from the dominated convergence.

4. The coefficient γ in (96) can be cast into another form
$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{d}{dt} \ln \det(\mathbb{1} + \frac{t}{4\nu_N} \hat{\Omega}^2_{\infty,0})|_{t=1}.$$

Finally, we obtain an upper bound on the transition probability, $\mathcal{D}_N = |(\phi^N, \psi^N)|^2$, between the two ground states ϕ^N and ψ^N.

Corollary 5.4. *Under the conditions of Theorem 5.3 and assuming that the coefficient γ is strictly positive one has for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and large N the asymptotic bound*
$$\mathcal{D}_N \leq e^{-\mathcal{I}_N} \leq N^{-\gamma+\varepsilon}$$
on the transition probability $\mathcal{D}_N$ (see (1)*).*

Proof. We follow Anderson's arguments and use Hadamard's and Bessel's inequality to obtain
$$\begin{aligned} |\det((\varphi_j, \psi_k))|^2 &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} |(\varphi_j, \psi_k)|^2 \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^{N} \big[1 - \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |(\varphi_j, \psi_k)|^2\big] \\ &\leq \exp\big[-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |(\varphi_j, \psi_k)|^2\big] \\ &= \exp(-\mathcal{I}_N) \end{aligned}$$
where we applied $\ln(1-x) \leq -x$ in the last step. If we choose N large enough then the error satisfies (see (95))
$$\frac{|\mathcal{I}_N - \gamma \ln N|}{\ln N} \leq \varepsilon$$
Hence, for large N
$$\mathcal{D}_N \leq \exp(-\mathcal{I}_N) \leq \exp(-\gamma \ln N + \frac{\gamma \ln N - \mathcal{I}_N}{\ln N} \ln N) \leq \exp(-\gamma \ln N + \varepsilon \ln N),$$
which proves the statement. □

A. Estimates

At various points we need estimates which are not directly related to our main subject. To begin with, we discuss the following sums

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(N+\frac{1}{2}-j)^\alpha} = 2^\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^\alpha} \le 4^\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(j+1)^\alpha} \le 4^\alpha \int_0^N \frac{1}{(t+1)^\alpha}\, dt.$$

To evaluate the integral we distinguish the cases $0 \le \alpha < 1$, $\alpha = 1$, and $\alpha > 1$. We obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(N+\frac{1}{2}-j)^\alpha} \le 4^\alpha \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha-1} & \text{for } \alpha > 1, \\ \frac{1}{1-\alpha}(N+1)^{1-\alpha} & \text{for } \alpha < 1, \\ \ln(N+1) & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \tag{99}$$

The constant $\varkappa_N$ in Proposition 3.6 requires more reasoning.

Lemma A.1. *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}$, $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} w + \frac{1}{2} - M > 0$. Then,*

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-wt} \frac{\cosh(Mt)}{\cosh\frac{t}{2}}\, dt \le \frac{2}{w+\frac{1}{2}-|M|}.$$

In particular, $|\tilde{\varkappa}_N| \le 4$ in Proposition 3.6. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty e^{-wt} \frac{\sinh(Mt)}{\sinh\frac{t}{2}}\, dt \\ &= \frac{M}{(w+\frac{1}{2})^2 - M^2} + \ln\frac{w+\frac{1}{2}+M}{w+\frac{1}{2}-M} \\ &\quad + 8M(w+\frac{1}{2}) \int_0^\infty \frac{y}{[(w+\frac{1}{2}+M)^2+y^2][(w+\frac{1}{2}-M)^2+y^2]} \frac{1}{e^{2\pi y}-1}\, dy. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this yields the asymptotics for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-(N+\frac{1}{2})t} \frac{\sinh((N+\frac{1}{2})t)}{\sinh\frac{t}{2}}\, dt = \ln(4N+3) + \frac{2N+1}{2N+\frac{3}{2}} + c_N \text{ with } 0 \le c_N \le \frac{2N+1}{2N+\frac{3}{2}}.$$

Proof. (a) The first estimate follows from estimating the integrand via

$$\frac{1}{2}e^t \le \cosh t \le e^t, \; t \ge 0.$$

(b) To begin with, we write for $t > 0$

$$\frac{1}{\sinh\frac{t}{2}} = 2e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{1-e^{-t}} = 2e^{-\frac{t}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-jt},$$

and integrate termwise which yields

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-wt}\frac{\sinh(Mt)}{\sinh\frac{t}{2}}\,dt = 2M\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(w+\frac{1}{2}+j)^2-M^2}.$$

We apply the Abel-Plana summation formula [6, Th. 4.9c]

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2M}\int_0^\infty e^{-wt}\frac{\sinh(Mt)}{\sinh\frac{t}{2}}\,dt\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(w+\frac{1}{2})^2-M^2}+\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(w+\frac{1}{2}+x)^2-M^2}\,dx\\ &\quad+i\int_0^\infty\Big[\frac{1}{(w+\frac{1}{2}+iy)^2-M^2}-\frac{1}{(w+\frac{1}{2}-iy)^2-M^2}\Big]\frac{1}{e^{2\pi y}-1}\,dy\end{aligned}$$

which implies the formula. Finally,

$$0\le\frac{y}{e^{2\pi y}-1}\le\frac{1}{2\pi}$$

yields the estimate. $\square$

It is obvious that the kernels $S_{0,N}(z;x,y)$ and $\tilde{S}_{0,N}(z;x,y)$ in Proposition 3.6 are bounded functions in x and y as long as $\sqrt{z}\in\mathbb{R}$. For the other two, $S_{1,N}(z;x,y)$ and $\tilde{S}_{1,N}(z;x,y)$, this is only true at the Fermi energy and requires some computation.

Lemma A.2. *There is a constant $0\le C<\infty$ such that for all $a\in\mathbb{R}$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}$*

$$\Big|\int_0^a \sin((N+\frac{1}{2})(u-a))\frac{\sin((N+\frac{1}{2})u)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du\Big|\le C,$$

$$\Big|\int_0^a \sin((N+\frac{1}{2})(u-a))\frac{\cos((N+\frac{1}{2})u)}{\cos\frac{u}{2}}\,du\Big|\le C.$$

Proof. By a change of variables we may assume $a\ge 0$. For brevity, we put $M:=N+\frac{1}{2}$ and $\tilde{a}:=\lfloor\frac{a}{2\pi}\rfloor$. Using the periodicity of the integrand we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^a \sin(M(u-a))\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du &= (1-(-1)^{\tilde{a}})\int_0^{2\pi}\sin(M(u-a))\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du\\ &\quad+(-1)^{\tilde{a}}\int_0^{a-2\pi\tilde{a}}\sin(M(u-a))\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du.\end{aligned}$$

To begin with, let $0\le b\le\pi$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^b \sin(M(u-a))\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}\,du &= 2\int_0^b \sin(M(u-a))\frac{\sin u}{u}\,du\\ &\quad+\int_0^b \sin(M(u-a))\big[\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\sin\frac{u}{2}}-\frac{\sin(Mu)}{\frac{u}{2}}\big]\,du.\end{aligned}$$

Via the Taylor expansion of the sine one can show that the rightmost integral is bounded in M and a. A change of variables in the remaining integral yields

$$\int_0^b \sin(M(u-a))\frac{\sin(Mu)}{u}\,du = \int_0^{Mb} \sin(u-Ma)\frac{\sin u}{u}\,du,$$

which can be shown to be bounded in M and a via integration by parts. The case $\pi \leq b \leq 2\pi$ can be reduced to this one. The cosine integral can be treated in like manner. ☐

Lemma A.3. *Let $w_{L,\alpha}$ be as in* (48) *with $\alpha > 0$. Then for all $s > 0$ and $L \geq 0$*

$$\int_0^L \frac{1}{(1+x)^{1+\alpha}} e^{2sx}\,dx \leq w_{L,\alpha}(s)e^{2Ls}.$$

Proof. We divide the domain of integration and note $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^L \frac{1}{(1+x)^{1+\alpha}} e^{2sx}\,dx &= \int_0^{L/2} \frac{1}{(1+x)^{1+\alpha}} e^{2sx}\,dx + \int_{L/2}^L \frac{1}{(1+x)^{1+\alpha}} e^{2sx}\,dx \\ &\leq e^{Ls}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x)^{1+\alpha}}\,dx + \frac{2^{1+\alpha}}{L^{1+\alpha}}\frac{1}{2s}(e^{2sL}-e^{sL}) \\ &= e^{2Ls}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha}e^{-Ls} + \frac{2^\alpha}{L^{1+\alpha}s}(1-e^{-Ls})\Big).\end{aligned}$$

For $Ls \geq 1$ we have

$$\frac{2^\alpha}{L^{1+\alpha}s}(1-e^{-Ls}) \leq \frac{2^\alpha s^\alpha}{(Ls)^{1+\alpha}},$$

whereas for $Ls \leq 1$,

$$\frac{2^\alpha}{L^{1+\alpha}s}(1-e^{-Ls}) \leq \frac{2^\alpha}{L^\alpha} = \frac{2^\alpha s^\alpha}{(Ls)^\alpha},$$

where we used $1-e^{-x} \leq x$ for $x \geq 0$. This concludes the proof. ☐

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the research network SFB TR 12 – 'Symmetries and Universality in Mesoscopic Systems' of the German Research Foundation (DFG). The authors would like to thank Peter Müller for numerous stimulating discussions.

References

[1] L. D. Akulenko and S. V. Nesterov. The phenomenon of anomalous dependence of the eigenvalues of the Sturm-Liouville problem on the interval length. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, 407(1):7–11, 2006.

[2] P. W. Anderson. Infrared Catastrophe in Fermi Gases with Local Scattering Potentials. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 18(24):1049–1051, Jun 1967. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.18.1049`.

[3] H. Dym and H. McKean. *Fourier Series and Integrals.* Probability and Mathematical Statistics Series. Academic Press, 1985.

[4] R. L. Frank, M. Lewin, E. H. Lieb, and R. Seiringer. Energy Cost to Make a Hole in the Fermi Sea. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 106:150402, Apr 2011. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.150402`.

[5] D. R. Hamann. Orthogonality Catastrophe in Metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 26(17):1030–1032, Apr 1971. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.1030`.

[6] P. Henrici. *Applied and computational complex analysis.* Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1974. Volume 1: Power series—integration—conformal mapping—location of zeros, Pure and Applied Mathematics.

[7] P. Henrici. *Applied and computational complex analysis. Vol. 3.* Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1986. Discrete Fourier analysis—Cauchy integrals—construction of conformal maps—univalent functions, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[8] P. D. Hislop and P. Müller. The spectral shift function for compactly supported perturbations of Schrödinger operators on large bounded domains. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(6):2141–2150, 2010. `doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-10-10264-0`.

[9] W. Münder, A. Weichselbaum, M. Goldstein, Y. Gefen, and J. von Delft. Anderson orthogonality in the dynamics after a local quantum quench. *Phys. Rev. B*, 85:235104, Jun 2012. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.85.235104`.

[10] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators.* Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers], New York, 1978.

[11] N. Rivier and E. Simanek. Exact Calculation of the Orthogonality Catastrophe in Metals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 26(8):435–438, Feb 1971. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.26.435`.

[12] W. Thirring. *Quantum mathematical physics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2002. Atoms, molecules and large systems, Translated from the 1979 and 1980 German originals by Evans M. Harrell II.

[13] A. Weichselbaum, W. Münder, and J. von Delft. Anderson orthogonality and the numerical renormalization group. *Phys. Rev. B*, 84:075137, Aug 2011. `doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.84.075137`.